1 DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney 2 CRISTINA D. SILVA Assistant United States Attorney 333 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 5000 3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Phone: (702) 388-6336 4 Fax: (702) 388-6698 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** -000-7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 2:12-cr-00237-APG-CWH ) 8 Plaintiff, **Stipulation to Continue Revocation** 9 Hearing 10 VS. PERLA RAMIREZ, 11 Defendant. 12 13 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between DANIEL G. 14 BOGDEN, United States Attorney, and CRISTINA D. SILVA, Assistant United States 15 Attorney, counsel for the United States of America, and MICHAEL KIMBRELL, Esq., counsel for defendant PERLA RAMIREZ, that the hearing on the defendant's supervised release 16 violation in the above-captioned matter, currently scheduled for August 5, 2015, at 10:30 am, 17 be vacated and continued for ten (10) days, to a date and time to be set by this Honorable 18 Court. 19 This stipulation is entered into for the following reasons: 20 The Government intends on filing a motion that will impact Ms. 1. 21 Ramirez's status as a material witness. Additional time is needed for the Government to file that motion and for the Court to consider the motion. The motion may impact the defendant's 22 resolution of her alleged supervised release violations. 23

24

# Case 2:12-cr-00237-APG-CWH Document 447 Filed 08/05/15 Page 2 of 4

1	2.	The parties and the Unit	ted States Probation Office anticipate agreeing to
2	a recommendation for a revocation of the defendant's supervised release.		
3	3.	The parties agree to the l	brief continuance.
4	4.	The defendant is incarce	erated and does not object to the continuance.
5	5.	Additionally, denial of	this request for continuance could result in
6	miscarriage of justice	2.	
	6.	The additional time requ	uested herein is not sought for purposes of delay
7	but allow for a possible resolution to the defendant's material witness hold, which may impact		
8	the resolution of the instant matter.		
9	DATED this 4 <sup>th</sup> day of August, 2015.		
10			Respectfully submitted,
11			DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney
12			
13	//s//		//s//
14	MICHAEL KIMBRE Counsel for Defendar	ELL, ESQ. nt	CRISTINA D. SILVA Assistant United States Attorney
15	PERLA RAMIREZ		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

# 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA -0Oo-

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) Case No. 2:12-cr-00237-APG-CWH
Plaintiff,	ORDER
VS.	)
PERLA RAMIREZ,	)
Defendant.	, )

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

- 1. The Government intends on filing a motion that will impact Ms. Ramirez's status as a material witness. Additional time is needed for the Government to file that motion and for the Court to consider the motion. The motion may impact the defendant's resolution of her alleged supervised release violations.
- 2. The parties and the United States Probation Office anticipate agreeing to a recommendation for a revocation of the defendant's supervised release.
  - 3. The parties agree to the brief continuance.
  - 4. The defendant is incarcerated and does not object to the continuance.
- 5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 6. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but allow for a possible resolution to the defendant's material witness hold, which may impact the resolution of the instant matter.
- 7. This is the <u>first</u> request to continue the hearing regarding revocation of supervised release date filed herein.

For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the revocation hearing.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for the hearing, as well as possibly resolve the alleged violations of supervised release, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

#### **ORDER**

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the revocation hearing currently scheduled for August 5, 2015, at the hour of 10:30 am, be vacated and continued to August 19, 2015 at the hour of 10:30 am.

DATED 5th day of August, 2015.

HONORABLE ANDREW P. GORDON UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE